Exhibit B

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND, NORTHERN DIVISION

```
                                    *

THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY
AND ARROW INTERNATIONAL, INC.,      *

     Plaintiffs,                    *  CIVIL NOS.:   WDQ-05-0759
                                                     WDQ-06-2711
v.                                  *

DATASCOPE CORPORATION,              *

     Defendant.                     *

                                    *

 *    *    *    *    *    *    *    *    *          *         *
```

VERDICT FORM

1. Inducing Infringement

    (a)   Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Datascope has actively induced infringement of the following claim of the '191 Patent?

           Claim 1   YES____X____   NO_____

    (b)   Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Datascope has actively induced infringement of the following claim for the '551 Patent?

           Claim 16 YES____X____   NO_____

    (c)   If you answered "Yes" above for Question 1(b), then answer the following question:
Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Datascope has actively induced infringement of any of the following claims for the '551 Patent?

           Claim 17 YES____X____   NO_____
           Claim 27 YES____X____   NO_____
           Claim 34 YES____X____   NO_____

FILED ___ LODGED ___ ENTERED ___ RECEIVED

JUN 15 2007

AT BALTIMORE
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
BY _____ DEPUTY

(d)   Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that
      Datascope has actively induced infringement of the
      following claim of the '704 Patent?

         Claim 1   YES_____X_____   NO_____

(e)   If you answered "Yes" above for Question 1(d), then
      answer the following question:
      Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that
      Datascope has actively induced infringement of any of the
      following claims for the '704 Patent?

         Claim 3   YES_____X_____   NO_____
         Claim 4   YES_____X_____   NO_____
         Claim 5   YES_____X_____   NO_____
         Claim 6   YES_____X_____   NO_____
         Claim 7   YES_____X_____   NO_____
         Claim 15   YES_____X_____   NO_____
         Claim 16   YES_____X_____   NO_____
         Claim 17   YES_____X_____   NO_____
         Claim 18   YES_____X_____   NO_____

2. Contributing to Infringement

   (a)   Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that
         Datascope has contributed to the infringement of the
         following claim of the '191 Patent?

            Claim 1   YES_____X_____   NO_____

   (b)   Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that
         Datascope has contributed to the infringement of the
         following claim for the '551 Patent?

            Claim 16   YES_____X_____   NO_____

   (c)   If you answered "Yes" above for Question 2(b), then
         answer the following question:
         Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that
         Datascope has contributed to the infringement of any of
         the following claims for the '551 Patent?

            Claim 17   YES____X_____   NO_____
            Claim 27   YES____X_____   NO_____
            Claim 34   YES____X_____   NO_____

2

(d)   Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Datascope has contributed to the infringement of the following claim of the '704 Patent?

    Claim 1   YES____X____   NO_____

(e)   If you answered "Yes" above for Question 2(d), then answer the following question:
      Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Datascope has contributed to the infringement of any of the following claims for the '704 Patent?

        Claim 3   YES____X____   NO_____
        Claim 4   YES____X____   NO_____
        Claim 5   YES____X____   NO_____
        Claim 6   YES____X____   NO_____
        Claim 7   YES____X____   NO_____
        Claim 15   YES____X____   NO_____
        Claim 16   YES____X____   NO_____
        Claim 17   YES____X____   NO_____
        Claim 18   YES____X____   NO_____

3. Invalidity of the Patents

  (a)   Do you find that Datascope proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that the following claim of the '191 Patent is invalid because of obviousness?

        Claim 1   YES_____   NO____X____

  (b)   Do you find that Datascope proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that the following claim of the '551 Patent is invalid because of obviousness?

        Claim 16 YES_____   NO____X____

  (c)   If you answered "Yes" above for Question 3(b), then answer the following question:
        Do you find that Datascope proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that the following claims of the '551 Patent are invalid because of obviousness?

        Claim 17   YES_____   NO_____
        Claim 27   YES_____   NO_____
        Claim 34   YES_____   NO_____

3

(d) Do you find that Datascope proved, by clear and
     convincing evidence, that the following claim of the '704
     Patent is invalid because of obviousness?

          Claim 1   YES_____   NO___X_____

(e) If you answered "Yes" above Question 3(d), then answer
     the following question:
     Do you find that Datascope proved, by clear and
     convincing evidence, that the following claims of the
     '704 Patent are invalid because of obviousness?

          Claim 3   YES_____   NO_____
          Claim 4   YES_____   NO_____
          Claim 5   YES_____   NO_____
          Claim 6   YES_____   NO_____
          Claim 7   YES_____   NO_____
          Claim 15   YES_____   NO_____
          Claim 16   YES_____   NO_____
          Claim 17   YES_____   NO_____
          Claim 18   YES_____   NO_____

4. Damages

   (a) If you have found that Datascope actively induced or
        contributed to the infringement of at least one valid
        claim, what amount of damages, if any, do you find that
        Arrow has proven by a preponderance of the evidence?

             $ 460,583 .

   (b) If you have found that Datascope actively induced or
        contributed to the infringement of at least one valid
        claim, what amount of damages, if any, do you find that
        Johns Hopkins has proven by a preponderance of the
        evidence?

             $ 230,292 .

___6/15/07_____   ___Kelly Hildebrand_____
Date   Jury Foreperson

4